To: Kumar, Ashij (EC)[ashij.kumar@canada.ca]; Torchia, Carla (EC)[carla.torchia@canada.ca]
Cc: James Schardt[schardt.james@epa.gov]; Chris Korleski[korleski.christopher@epa.gov]

From: Barnes, Edlynzia

Sent: Thur 6/16/2016 1:58:11 PM Subject: GLEC Member PRP Comments

Good morning,

The Michigan Office of Great Lakes has provided comments to the draft PRP mostly pertaining to Annex 1 and 2. Since the comments follow specific page numbers in the draft, I've included their exact text for input. Please see below.

- 1) We recommend that the introduction explicitly state the time period the report is intended to cover including the cutoff date for new information. It currently reads "2013-2016" which suggests through the end of 2016. Since the report will be finalized by October, 2016, the cutoff date for information in the report will need to be prior to the end of 2016.
- 2) Many of the figures and tables throughout the report need to be enlarged to be more legible.
- 3) Agency acronyms (p. 6) should be sorted alphabetically.
- 4) The "binational priorities for science and action for 2017-2019" (p. 7) should be explicitly referred to as "draft" to ensure reviewers there is still opportunity for input.
- 5) The bullet on the Great Lakes Public Forum 2013 (p. 7) should specifically mention that it was held in Milwaukee, WI.
- 6) Replace "restore BUIs" with "remove BUIs" (p. 9).

7) The shades of green on Canadian & U.S. BUIs removed tables (p. 12-13) should be consistent – the shade used in U.S. table obscures the dates.
8) St. Clair Beach Closings (US) has been removed since table was prepared (p. 13). This is why it is important to have an explicit cutoff date – additional BUIs will continue to be removed through 2016.
9) Add "delisting" notations to U.S. table to be consistent with Canadian table. (p. 13)
10) Detroit R. AOC should be notated as shared w. Ontario similar to the St. Marys and St. Clair AOCs (p. 13 & 15)
11) The column labeled "Safe Drinking Water Provided" should be removed from U.S. Status of Actions table (p.15). It is only relevant to one AOC and even there the relevance is sketchy.
12) All actions have been completed under Sediment Remediation for the Menominee AOC (p. 15).
13) It would help to emphasize the progress made under Annex 1 if a graph was added (or two graphs – one Canadian and one U.S.) showing cumulative BUIs removed over time.
14) Avoid splitting table on p. 16-17.
15) The Annex 2 section includes only Binational Actions (p. 17). A significant U.S. Action was holding a State of Lake Michigan Conference in 2015 that brought together federal, state and local government officials, academic researchers and stakeholder groups to review and discuss activities and priorities under the Lake Michigan LAMP.

- 16) The progress items reported under the final commitment for Annex 2 (p. 20) seem to deal with outreach and engagement efforts which don't necessarily translate into "undertake lakewide management actions."
- 17) Excess "to" in last line of p. 41.
- 18) Though it does not conveniently fit into the Annex 6 action categories, the construction of the Eagle Marsh berm was an important action to control invasive species and should be included in the U.S. domestic actions section (p. 43).
- 19) The CSMI graphic (p. 68) doesn't make clear which direction the rotational cycle goes.

Edlynzia Barnes

U.S Environmental Protection Agency

Great Lakes National Program Office

77 W Jackson Blvd Chicago, IL

Phone: (312) 886-6249